

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Kevin F. Dudley 10/616,192 07/09/2003 10502 9670 **EXAMINER** 02/17/2006 27623 7590 OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP KOCZO JR, MICHAEL ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER STAMFORD, CT 06901 3746

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 / | $\gamma _{\prime \prime }$ |
|-----|----------------------------|
| \/ | \mathbf{N} |
| X | Ħ |
| Ü | U |

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | 10/616,192 | DUDLEY, KEVIN F. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Michael Koczo, Jr. | 3746 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja | anuary 2006. | | | |
| , | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| , — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,6 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | Claim(s) 1,2 and 7-10 is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 3,4 is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | , | · (DTO 440) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Inform | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | ´ - | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of species A and C, without traverse, is acknowledged.

Claims 5, 6 and 11 therefore stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected species.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, --a-- should be inserted preceding "housing". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The expression "maximum anticipated time" is vague and indefinite because it could be any length of time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3746

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moriwaki et al (JP 2002-277068). Moriwaki et al disclose a compressor for a refrigeration system having a heat regenerative unit (heat storage material 11 or 12) in contact with the compressor housing for absorbing heat from the oil during operation of the compressor, and releasing the heat to the oil when the compressor is stopped.

Claim 1 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Connor (US 3,339,829). Connor discloses a refrigeration compressor having a support member 23 submerged in the oil. This support member is readable as a heat regenerative unit because it inherently absorbs heat from the oil during operation of the compressor, and releases the heat to the oil when the compressor is stopped.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki et al in view of Wyatt (US 4,982,722). Moriwaki et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Moriwaki et al do not disclose a phase change material for absorbing and

Art Unit: 3746

releasing heat energy to the oil. Wyatt discloses the use of a phase change material as a heat storage material for absorbing and releasing heat energy. A phase change material has a greater heat storage capacity as compared to a non phase change material due to heat of fusion. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to use a phase change material as the heat storage material of Moriwaki et al.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki et al in view of Yamashita (US 4,817,704). Yamashita discloses the use of hydrated salt as a phase change material for heat storage. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to use hydrated salt as the heat storage material of Moriwaki et al.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connor in view of Shaw (US 4,181,474). Shaw discloses that it is know to form compressor parts, such as the housing, of aluminum (col. 17, l. 49). Aluminum is often preferred because of its light weight and corrosion resistance. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to form the support member 23 of Connor of aluminum.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3746

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy S. Thorpe can be reached at 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746